
STUDENT CODE OF CONDUCT

The Student Code of Conduct of University of Phoenix supports the University's mission to provide access to higher education opportunities that enable students to develop the knowledge and skills necessary to achieve their professional goals, improve the productivity of their organizations, and provide leadership and service to their communities.

Students are expected to conduct themselves ethically, honestly, and with integrity as responsible members of the University's academic community. This requires the demonstration of mutual respect and civility in academic and professional discourse.

A University is a marketplace of ideas and, in the search for truth, it is essential that freedom exists for contrary ideas to be expressed. Accordingly, students are expected to respect the rights and privileges of others and to foster an environment conducive to learning. Students are accountable for their actions and are required to work independently, as well as collaboratively with teams, in achieving learning goals and objectives.

By virtue of membership in the University's academic community, students accept an obligation to abide by this Student Code of Conduct. Conduct, either on or off-campus, that is determined to impair, interfere, or obstruct the opportunities of others to learn or that disrupts the mission, processes, or orderly functions of the University will be deemed misconduct and shall be subject to appropriate disciplinary action.

Misconduct for which students are subject to disciplinary action includes, but is not limited to, the following enumerated violations:

1. Actions, oral statements, and written statements which threaten or violate the personal safety of any member of the faculty, staff, or other students.
2. Harassment that has the effect of creating a hostile or offensive educational environment for any student, faculty, or staff member.
3. Sex discrimination/harassment that has the effect of creating a hostile or offensive educational environment for any student, faculty, or staff member. This includes, but is not limited to, sex discrimination, sexual harassment, unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature, unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal and nonverbal, or physical conduct of a sexual nature including sexual violence.
4. Stalking, persistently pursuing another person that has the effect of imposing unwelcomed contact and/or communication.
5. Disruptive behavior that hinders or interferes with the educational process.
6. Violation of any applicable professional codes of ethics or conduct.
7. Failure to promptly comply with any reasonable directive from faculty or University officials.
8. Failure to cooperate in a University investigation.
9. Carrying of weapons on campus, at campus-sanctioned events, or when meeting with campus personnel. (This policy is not applicable to students who are law enforcement officers required by law to carry firearms at all times).
10. Using, dealing in, or being under the influence of alcohol or illegal drugs while in class, at campus-sanctioned events, or when

meeting with campus personnel.

11. Failure to maintain confidentiality and respect the privacy of personal or professional information communicated about clients, one's employer, other students or their employers.
12. Falsification, alteration or invention of information, including, but not limited to, any third party document used to apply for financial aid, or lying during a University investigation.
13. Violation of the Student Code of Academic Integrity.
14. Violation of the policy on Acceptable Use of University Computing and Communication Resources for Students and Faculty.
15. Hazing (any action which recklessly or intentionally endangers the mental health or physical health or safety of a student for the purpose of initiation or admission into or affiliation with any University-sanctioned organization).
16. Violation of University regulations and policies (in addition to those regulations and policies covered by items 1-14 above).
17. Violation of federal, state, provincial, or local laws or regulations that impacts the University's educational environment.

Student Code of Academic Integrity

University of Phoenix is an academic community whose fundamental mission is the pursuit of intellectual growth. Achievement of this mission is dependent upon the development of autonomous thought and respect for the ideas of others. Academic dishonesty threatens the integrity of individual students as well as the University's academic community.

By virtue of membership in the University's academic community, students accept a responsibility and obligation to abide by this Student Code of Academic Integrity, which is a part of the Student Code of Conduct. Academic integrity violations include all forms of academic dishonesty, including but not limited to the following:

- a. Plagiarism - Intentional or unintentional representation of another's words or ideas as one's own in an academic exercise. Examples of plagiarism include but are not limited to:
 - The exact copy of information from a source without proper citation and without use of quotation marks or block quotation formatting. If any words or ideas used in a class posting or assignment submission do not represent the student's original words or ideas, the student must distinguish them with quotation marks or a freestanding, indented block quotation (for a quotation of 40 or more words), followed by the appropriate citation in accordance with the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association. When a student copies information from a source, he or she must acknowledge the source with quotation marks or block quotes irrespective of whether or not the source has been formally published.
 - Paraphrasing statements, paragraphs, or other bodies of work without proper citation using someone else's ideas, data, language, and/or arguments without acknowledgement.
 - Presenting work as the student's own that has been prepared in whole or part by someone other than that particular student. This includes the purchase and/or sharing of work.
 - Failure to properly cite and reference statistics, data, or other sources of information that are used in one's submission.
- b. Self-plagiarism, double dipping, or dovetailing - Submission of

work that has been prepared for a different course without fair citation of the original work and prior approval of faculty. Students who submit assignments that were previously submitted in another course are subject to the same consequences they would face if they plagiarized these assignments. The use of one's previous work in an assignment requires prior approval from the current faculty member and citation of the previous work.

c. Fabrication - Falsification or invention of any information, citation, data, or document.

This includes the invention or alteration of data or results, or relying on another source's results in any assignment without proper acknowledgement of that source. Fabrication includes citing sources that the student has not actually used or consulted.

d. Unauthorized Assistance - Use of materials or information not authorized by the faculty member to complete an academic exercise, or the completion of an academic exercise by someone other than the student.

Students must rely upon their own abilities and refrain from obtaining assistance in any manner that faculty does not explicitly allow. This includes but is not limited to providing or receiving answers to an exam, use of faculty materials or answer keys, or a student having someone take his or her exam.

e. Copyright infringement - Acquisition or use of copyrighted works without appropriate legal license or permission which includes peer-to-peer file sharing.

Any unauthorized distribution of copyrighted material, including peer-to-peer file sharing, including illegal downloading or unauthorized distribution of copyrighted materials using the University information technology system may subject a student to civil and criminal liabilities.

Refer to: <http://www.copyright.gov/title17/92chap5.pdf> for information on federal copyright infringement and remedies.

f. Misrepresentation - Falsely representing the student's situation to faculty when (1) justifying an absence or the need for a complete grade; or (2) requesting a makeup exam, a special due date, or extension of a syllabus or class deadline for submitting a course requirement.

g. Collusion - Helping or allowing another student to commit any act of academic dishonesty.

Procedure for Processing Alleged Violations of the Student Code of Conduct:

Procedure for Processing Alleged Violations of the Student Code of Conduct:

A. Alleged Violations:

1. An alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct, unless related to student records or sex discrimination/harassment, shall be forwarded to the Campus Director of Academic Affairs, the Campus Director of Operations, or their designee.

2. An alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct that relates to student records shall be forwarded in writing to the Registrar.

3. An alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct that relates to sex discrimination/harassment shall be forwarded to the university's Title IX Coordinator, Camie Pratt, Associate Vice President, Office of Dispute Management, 4615 E. Elwood Street, Mailstop AA-S401, Phoenix, AZ 85040, 602.557.3391, Camie.Pratt@phoenix.edu or designee).

4. All alleged violations of the Student Code of Conduct shall be subject to a fair and impartial process in determining whether or not a violation has occurred.

5. An alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct may result in a warning or it may be subject to review by a committee as described below.

B. Investigation:

1. Alleged violations of the Student Code of Conduct shall be investigated in a prompt, thorough, impartial, and reasonable manner.

2. The investigation shall gather relevant evidence, including, but not limited to, pertinent documents and statements from witnesses. Title IX investigations will be conducted within 60 days barring any unusual complexity.

3. While an alleged violation of the Student Code of Conduct is being investigated, a student may be removed from class, campus-sanctioned events, and other University functions after review and consultation with Apollo Legal Services and/or the Office of Dispute Management.

C. Notification:

1. A student who is charged with a violation of the Student Code of Conduct shall be notified of the specific charge(s) in writing by United Parcel Service (UPS) and/or by additional means which may validate proof of receipt, and shall be given ten days to submit a written response to the designated University official. (Note: If this is a drug and/or alcohol related offense you must also notify the Office of Dispute Management.)

2. Failure of a student to respond to the Charging Letter shall result in suspension from the University following completion of the current course if the student is then actively attending classes and is not subject to immediate suspension.

3. In those instances where the campus determines the conduct does not warrant a Charging Letter, it may choose to issue a Warning Letter and/or provide counseling to the student. Note: A Warning Letter is not appealable.

4. In Title IX cases the complainant(s) shall be notified of the conduct procedures and notified of when and if a Charge Letter or warning is sent to the respondent(s).

D. Student Response

1. A student response acknowledging guilt will be sent to the Campus Director of Academic Affairs, the Campus Director of Operations, the Registrar (only in violations relating to student records) or their designee who will determine the appropriate sanctions.

2. In Title IX cases, a student response acknowledging guilt will follow the Title IX committee process outlined below.

3. A student response denying the charge(s) will follow the committee process outlined below.

E. Committees:

1. Campus Committee:

a. After the campus investigation is completed and the student has responded to the Charging Letter, a Campus Committee shall be convened to review the file and make findings and recommendations to the Campus Director of Academic Affairs or the Campus Director of Operations (a designee may be appointed if the Director has recused himself/herself).

b. The Campus Committee shall be chaired by a full-time campus administrator.

c. The Campus Committee composition shall be at least three impartial individuals who have no prior involvement with the student or the investigation: a campus administrator, a faculty member, and a student representative.

d. The Campus Committee shall use the preponderance of the evidence standard of proof (more likely than not) to weigh the evidence and make a recommendation to the Director of Academic Affairs or designee about whether a violation occurred and what sanction, if any, is warranted.

2. Registrar's Committee:

a. After the Apollo Ethics and Compliance Department's investigation is completed and the student has responded to the Charging Letter, a Registrar's Committee shall be convened to review the file and make findings and recommendations to the Registrar (a designee may be appointed if the Registrar has recused himself/herself).

b. The Registrar's Committee shall be chaired by an Associate Registrar or Director from the Registrar's Office (or designee).

c. The Committee composition shall be at least three impartial individuals, who have no prior involvement with the student or the investigation: an Associate Registrar (or designee), Director or Operations Manager from the Registrar's Office or designee, a Director from University Services (or designee), and the Director of Student Financial Aid programs or Director of Student Financial Accounting or Campus Director of Finance, as appropriate.

d. The Registrar's Committee shall use the preponderance of the evidence standard of proof (more likely than not) to weigh the evidence and make a recommendation to the Registrar or designee about whether a violation occurred and what sanction, if any, is warranted.

3. Title IX Committee:

a. After the investigation is completed and the student has responded to the Charging Letter, opposing parties will be afforded the opportunity to present written witness statements for inclusion in the Title IX Case Packet.

b. The Title IX Committee shall be convened to review the file and make findings and recommendations to the Campus Director of Academic Affairs or the Campus Director of Operations (a designee may be appointed if the Director has recused himself/herself).

c. The Title IX Committee shall be chaired by a full-time campus administrator or designee.

d. The Title IX Committee composition shall be at least three impartial individuals who have no prior involvement with the parties or the investigation: a director (or designee), a faculty member, and a student.

e. The Title IX Committee shall use the preponderance of the evidence standard of proof (more likely than not) to weigh the evidence and make a recommendation to the Director of Academic Affairs or designee about whether a violation occurred and what sanction, if any, is warranted.

F. Committee Process:

1. Students shall be afforded the opportunity to address the Committee to make a statement in their defense. This may be done via teleconference. (Note: In Title IX cases opposing parties are

afforded the opportunity to separately address the committee to make a statement).

2. Students are not entitled to representation by an attorney or any other third party at any point in the process. However, in accordance with the Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA), in cases of an alleged sex discrimination/harassment, opposing parties are entitled to have third parties present during the committee process. (Note: The third party cannot be an attorney).

3. Tape, digital, or other electronic recording of the committee meeting is not permitted.

4. The Committee members are given a "Case Packet" with all relevant information for the committee meeting, including any written response received from the student. In Title IX cases, the Case Packet will include opposing parties' statements, all evidence discovered during the investigation, and any written witness statements the parties have submitted.

5. The Committee members sign a standard Confidentiality Statement for Committee Members and, after the Committee's deliberations; the Case Packets are collected and destroyed in order to maintain confidentiality.

G. Decision:

1. For campus cases, the Committee Chair delivers a summary report, generally containing Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Recommendations, to the Campus Director of Academic Affairs or the Campus Director of Operations (or designee), who has the ultimate authority to accept, reject, or modify the recommendations of the Campus Committee and render the decision.

2. For Registrar cases, the Committee Chair delivers a summary report, generally containing Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Recommendations, to the Registrar (or designee), who has the ultimate authority to accept, reject, or modify the recommendations of the Registrar's Committee and render the decision.

3. For Title IX cases, the Committee Chair delivers a summary report, generally containing Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Recommendations, to the Campus Director of Academic Affairs or the Campus Director of Operations (or designee), who has the ultimate authority to accept, reject, or modify the recommendations of the Title IX Committee and render the decision.

4. The decision shall be communicated to the student by the decision maker. The decision shall be delivered by United Parcel Service (UPS) and/or by additional means which may validate proof of receipt. In Title IX cases, opposing parties will receive notice of the outcome of the complaint within 30 days of the close of the investigation. (Note: If this is a drug and/or alcohol related offense you must also notify the Office of Dispute Management.)

5. In accordance with the requirements under the Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA), upon written request, the University of Phoenix will disclose to an alleged victim of a crime of violence, or a non-forcible sex offense, the results of any disciplinary hearing conducted by the institution against the student(s) who is/are the alleged perpetrator of the crime or offense. If the alleged victim is deceased as a result of the alleged crime or offense, the University of Phoenix will provide the results of the disciplinary hearing to the victim's next of kin, if so requested.

6. In accordance with the requirements under the HEOA, in cases of an alleged sex discrimination/harassment, opposing parties will be informed of the committee determination, including any sanction that is imposed.

7. Any decision which affects a student's enrollment or academic status shall be communicated to the Registrar's Office for records update.

H. Sanctions:

1. If a violation is found, disciplinary sanctions shall be based on the seriousness of the situation and may include, but are not limited to, documented counseling by a University staff member, loss of academic credit, action plan (must be approved by the Office of Dispute Management), suspension and/or expulsion.
2. A recommendation of expulsion by the decision maker shall be automatically reviewed by the Student Discipline Review Committee in the Office of Dispute Management and must be endorsed before the campus communicates that sanction to the student.

I. Appeals:

1. In those instances where students are found to be in violation of the Student Code of Conduct after receiving a Charging Letter, they may appeal the decision to the Student Discipline Review Committee (SDRC) in the Office of Dispute Management (ODM) within ten days of receiving the campus decision. In Title IX cases, opposing parties shall be afforded the opportunity to appeal within 10 days of receiving notice of the outcome and if an appeal is filed each party shall receive notice of the other party's appeal.
2. The SDRC is usually comprised of a senior manager from the University, a Regional Director of Academic Affairs, and an Associate or Assistant Dean from the accused student's college (or their respective designee). The decision of the SDRC is final and shall be communicated directly to the student and the campus, except in the case of a decision by the SDRC supporting a campus recommendation of expulsion (see H.2. above).
3. If the sanction against the student is expulsion, the review of the appeal shall be conducted by the Senior Management Review Committee (SMRC) in the Office of Dispute Management. The SMRC is usually comprised of the Provost, the Vice President of University Services, and the Dean of the accused student's college (or their respective designee). The decision of the SMRC is final and shall be communicated directly to the student and the campus.